

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	3:12-cv-00463-LRH-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
\$15,000.00 IN UNITED STATES	)	
CURRENCY	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
THOMAS E. SLACK,	)	
	)	
Claimant.	)	
	)	

Before the court is the Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories and for Production of Documents of Plaintiff United States of America (Doc. # 10) and Plaintiff's Notice of Failure to Respond to Motion to Compel Discovery Responses (Doc. # 13.)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a) authorizes a party to seek an order compelling discovery when a party fails to answer an interrogatory submitted under Rule 33 or produce documents as requested under Rule 34. Federal Rule 37(a)(3)(B) and (iii)(iv). Based upon Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 10) and Notice (Doc. # 13), it appears claimant has both failed to respond to Plaintiff's discovery and Plaintiff's motion with respect thereto.

///

///

///

1 Local Rule 7-2(d) provides in pertinent part as follows:


2 “\* \* \* The failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in  
3 response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the  
4 motion.”

5 Therefore, good cause appearing, Plaintiff’s motion to compel (Doc. # 10) is **GRANTED**.

6 Claimant is advised that in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b), if claimant fails to respond to  
7 Plaintiff’s outstanding discovery by **April 30, 2013**, this court may enter a recommendation for sanctions  
8 against claimant under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b), including treating claimant’s failure to respond as contempt  
9 of court and/or dismissing claimant’s claim pending herein.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: April 15, 2013.

12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 WILLIAM G. COBB  
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28